$\begin{array}{c} \text{AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)} \\ \text{Case 1:10-cr-00012-RHB} \quad \text{ECF No. 14 filed 05/11/12} \quad \text{PageID.24} \quad \text{Page 1 of 1} \\ \end{array}$ 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PEN	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. Jose Sardeneta-Gutierrez	O N 4 40 - 00040 BUB		
	Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00012-RHB		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that	t these facts require	
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. §	2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:			
			·*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been c U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or		nses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv a minor victim	'es:		
		destructive device or any other dangerous 2250	s weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending t	rial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternative F	indings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	is committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.		.*	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		_	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t		mbination of conditions	
<b>√</b> (1)	Alternative Fit There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	• ,		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the		unity.	
(	Part II – Statement of the	•	,	
evidence 1. Defen	find that the testimony and information submitted at the de a preponderance of the evidence that: dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contes	t detention at this time.	ar and convincing	
	dant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the		ces change.	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 11, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge